RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 1 2 2006

Dear USPTO worker:

Please docket this faxed response as received and forward the to Examiner, Krisciunas, Linda Mary.

Thank you

Attn: Examiner Krisciunas,

Re: USSN 09/312,840, Douglas F. Beaven, Processing management information, filed 5/14/1999, docket: beaven01.001

Attached is a response to a Final office action in the above application.

Should you have any questions, please contact our office. Thank you

Sincerely, Kathy Olmsted, clerk

Gordon E. Nelson, Patent Attorney P.O. Box 782 57 Central Street Rowley, MA 01969 phone: 978-948-7632 fax: 866-723-0359

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 1 2 2006

PTO/SB/21 (03-03)
Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

t I	Inder the Par	nerwork Reduction Act of 1995	. no persons	are required to res			ormation unless it displays a valid OMB control number.						
			Application Number			09/312,740							
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial			Filing Date First Named Inventor filing) Art Unit Examiner Name			5/14/1999 Douglas F. Beaven							
									2986 Krisciunas, L				
											13	Attorney Doc	ket Number
						Total Number of Pages in This Submission 13 DeaVenU I: UU I							
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication													
	Amendme Ame	e to Missing Parts/ te Application esponse to Missing Parts	Li P P P C T	erminal Disclain lequest for Refu D, Number of C	rt to a cation y, Revocation spondence Addre ner	ess	to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):						
	ال وسي	nder 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53											
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT													
Firm or Indivi	dual_	Gordon E. Nelson #	¢30,093										
Signature		/Gordon E. Nelson/											
Date		June 12, 2006											
		C	ERTIFIC	ATE OF TRA	NSMISSION	I/MAI	ILING						
		this correspondence is being to envelope addressed to: Comm					United <u>States Postal Service with sufficient postage</u> as June 12, 2006						
Typed or printed Gordon E. Nelson													
Signa	iture	/Gordon E	. Nelsor	n/		Date June 12, 2006							

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 1 2 2006

beaven01.001

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (beaven01.001)

5 Applicant:

Douglas F. Beaven

Paper No.:

Application No:

09/312,740

Group Art Unit: 3623

Filed:

5/14/99

Examiner: Krisciunas, Linda Mary

Title: Processing management information

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to final Office action under 37 C.F.R. 1.116

Summary of prosecution

20

25

10

15

Applicant filed an RCE in the present examination on 10/19/2005, and in response thereto, Examiner withdrew the finality of the rejection mailed 7/19/05. A non-final Office action followed on 12/13/05. In that Office action, Examiner rejected claims 187, 191, and 192 under 35 U.S.C. 112 because of their use of the terms "observe" and "perceive", which were deemed to be subjective and non-measurable. Claims 187-194, 197-206, and 210 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as obvious over Board (High End Project Managers, Infoworld, Feb. 1, 1993, p., 61-69) in view of Aoyama (Web Based Agile Software Development by Mikio Aoyama, IEEE Software Nov/Dec 1998, pp. 56-65).

30

35

Examiner graciously granted a telephonic interview in the application on 3/10/06; in a response based on arguments made in the interview and filed 3/15/06, Applicant amended independent claims 187 and 192 to render the relationship between the hierarchies and the representations of the model entities unambiguous and also amended claim 187 to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112. Further amendments were made to dependent claims 188-191 to bring them into conformity with amended claim 187.

Examiner mailed a final Office action on 4/706 in the above application in which she rejected claims 187-194 and 197-210 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by a new reference, U.S. Patent 6,442,557, Buteau et al., Evaluation of enterprise architectural model including relational database, filed 2/27/98 (henceforth "Buteau") and objected to dependent Beauregard claims 197 and 210 for failing to limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is amending claim 187 to correct a grammatical error and is otherwise traversing the rejection and the objection.

10 Please amend the claims as follows:

5